

## STATE OF KANSAS

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

2ND FLOOR, KANSAS JUDICIAL CENTER, TOPEKA 66612-1597

ROBERT T. STEPHAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 17, 1992

MAIN PHONE: (913) 296-2215 CONSUMER PROTECTION: 296-3751 TELECOPIER: 296-6296

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINION NO. 92- 25

The Honorable Bill Brady State Senator, Fourteenth District State Capitol, Room 462-E Topeka, Kansas 66612

Re:

Elections--Presidential Preference Primary

Election -- Presidential Preference Primary Election;

Payment of Election Expenses

Synopsis:

No legal action may be undertaken to force an appropriation of state money for the purpose of reimbursing counties for costs incurred by the counties in conducting the presidential preference primary election. Cited herein: K.S.A. 25-4508;

Kan. Const., art. 2, § 24.

Dear Senator Brady:

As senator for the fourteenth district, you request our opinion regarding whether K.S.A. 25-4508 obligates the state to pay for the costs of conducting the presidential preference primary election scheduled for April of 1992. Specifically, you ask whether the legislature may be forced to appropriate state money for the purpose of reimbursing counties for the costs incurred by the counties in conducting the presidential preference primary election.

The power to appropriate the money of the state is a legislative power and, except as is restricted by section 24 of article 2 of the Kansas constitution, the legislature has the exclusive power to decide how, when and for what purposes

the public funds shall be applied in carrying on the state government. State ex rel. v. Fadely, 180 Kan. 652, 660-61 (1957). "No money shall be drawn from the treasury except in pursuance of a specific appropriation made by law." Kan. Const., art. 2, § 24. Therefore, in order for the state to expend money for the purpose of reimbursing counties for costs incurred by the counties in conducting the presidential preference primary election, the legislature must make an appropriation for such purpose.

If the legislature refuses or fails to make an appropriation for the purpose of reimbursing counties, there appears to be little action that may be undertaken by the counties to force an appropriation. Mandamus cannot be utilized to require the state treasurer to violate the provisions of article 2, section 24 of the Kansas constitution. Wheat v. Finney, 230 Kan. 217, 222 (1981). No legal action may be undertaken to force an appropriation of state money for the purpose of reimbursing counties for costs incurred by the counties in conducting the presidential preference primary election.

Very truly yours,

ROBERT T. STEPHAN

Attorney General of Kansas

Richard D. Smith

the Grande Jack

Assistant Attorney General

RTS:JLM:RDS:jm